arXiv:1412.3144v1 [hep-ph] 9 Nov 2014

Electromagnetic neutrino: a short review

Alexander I. Studenikin[a,b]

[a] *Department of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Physics, Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia*
[b] *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Moscow Region, Russia*

Abstract

A short review on selected issues related to the problem of neutrino electromagnetic properties is given. After a flash look at the theoretical basis of neutrino electromagnetic form factors, constraints on neutrino magnetic moments and electric millicharge from terrestrial experiments and astrophysical observations are discussed. We also focus on some recent studies of the problem and on perspectives.

Keywords:

1. Introduction

The passed two years, since the previous ICHEP conference held in Melbourne in 2012, have been celebrated by a spectacular step further in high energy physics. The prediction of the now-termed BEH symmetry breaking mechanism, attributed to Robert Brout, Francois Englert and Peter Higgs, recently supported by an excellent job done by two CERN collaborations for discovering of the Higgs boson provides the final glorious triumph of the Standard Model.

Within the initial formulation of the Standard Model neutrinos are massless particles. However, already now it is known that the Standard Model should be extended to some more general theory in particular because of neutrinos which are the only particles exhibiting experimentally well-confirmed properties beyond the Standard Model. This is because of neutrino mixing and oscillations supported by the discovery of flavour conversion of neutrinos from different sources, the effect that is not possible for massless neutrinos.

Email address: studenik@srd.sinp.msu.ru (Alexander I. Studenikin)

In many extensions of the Standard Model, which account for neutrino masses and mixings, neutrinos acquire nontrivial electromagnetic properties that hence allow direct electromagnetic interactions of neutrinos with electromagnetic fields and charged particles or with particles which have magnetic moments. Unfortunately, in spite of reasonable efforts in studies of neutrino electromagnetic properties, up to now there is no experimental confirmation, neither from terrestrial laboratories studies nor from astrophysical observations, in favour of nonvanishing neutrino electromagnetic characteristics. However, experimentalists and theoretists are eagerly searching for them and once being experimentally confirmed they will open a window to new physics beyond the Standard Model.

The studies of neutrino electromagnetic properties has a long history. It has indeed started long ago: the importance of neutrino electromagnetic properties was first mentioned by Wolfgang Pauli just in 1930 when he postulated the existence of the neutrino and supposed that its mass can be of the order of one of the electron. In his famous letter to "radiative ladies and gentlemen" Pauli also discussed the possibility that neutrino could have a magnetic moment. It is worth noting another early paper [1] which concerns the magnetic moment of neutrino. The authors show that at the limit of mass of the neutrino equal to zero the magnetic moment also should tends to zero.

Systematic theoretical studies of neutrino electromagnetic properties have started after it was shown that in the minimally-extended Standard Model Standard with right-handed neutrinos the magnetic moment of a massive neutrino is, in general, nonvanishing and that its value is determined by the neutrino mass [2]. For the recent reviews on the neutrino electromagnetic properties see [3–6].

2. Neutrino electromagnetic vertex

The neutrino electromagnetic properties are determined by the neutrino electromagnetic vertex function $\Lambda_\mu(q)$ (see Fig.1) that is related to the matrix element of the electromagnetic current between the neutrino initial $\psi(p)$ and final $\psi(p')$ mass states

$$< \psi(p')|J_\mu^{EM}|\psi(p) >= \bar{u}(p')\Lambda_\mu(q)u(p). \qquad (1)$$



Figure 1: The effective diagramme for the electormagnetic interaction of the initial $\psi(p)$ and final $\psi(p')$ neutrino mass states with a photon.

The Lorentz and electromagnetic gauge invariance imply [6–9] that the electromagnetic vertex function can be written in the form:

$$\Lambda_\mu(q) = f_Q(q^2)\gamma_\mu - f_M(q^2)i\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^\nu + f_E(q^2)\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^\nu\gamma_5 + f_A(q^2)(q^2\gamma_\mu - q_\mu q)\gamma_5, \quad (2)$$

where f_Q, f_M, f_E and f_A are charge, dipole magnetic and electric and anapole neutrino electromagnetic form factors, and $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}(\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu - \gamma_\nu\gamma_\mu)$. The photon four-momentum q is given by $q = p - p'$ and form factors depend on the Lorentz invariant dynamical quantity q^2.

Note that the Dirac and Majorana neutrinos have quite different electromagnetic properties. This can be easily seen [6] if one accounts for constraints on form factors imposed by the the hermiticity of the neutrino electromagnetic current and its invariance under discrete symmetries transformations. In the case of Dirac neutrinos, the assumption of CP invariance combined with the hermiticity of J_μ^{EM} implies that $f_E(q^2) = 0$. In the case of Majorana neutrinos from the CPT invariance, regardless of whether CP invariance is violated or not, $f_Q(q^2) = f_M(q^2) = f_E(q^2) = 0$ and only the anapole form factor $f_A(q^2)$ can be non-vanishing.

In general case the matrix element of the electromagnetic current (1) can be considered between neutrino initial $\psi(p)$ and final $\psi(p')$ states of different masses $p^2 = m_i^2 \neq m_j^2 = p'^2$. Then the vertex function and form factors are matrixes in the neutrino mass eigenstates space,

$$\Lambda_\mu(q)_{ij} = \Big(f_Q(q^2)_{ij} + f_A(q^2)_{ij}\gamma_5\Big)(q^2\gamma_\mu - q_\mu \not{q}) + f_M(q^2)_{ij} i\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^\nu + f_E(q^2)_{ij}\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^\nu\gamma_5. \quad (3)$$

For two Dirac neutrinos in the off-diagonal case ($i \neq j$) the hermiticity by itself does not imply restrictions on the form factors and all of them can be nonzero. Two massive Majorana neutrinos can have either a transition electric form factor or a transition magnetic form factor, but not both, and the transition electric form factor can exist only together with a transition anapole form factor, whereas the transition magnetic form factor can exist only together with a transition charge form factor.

From the demand that the form factors at zero momentum transfer, $q^2 = 0$, are elements of the scattering matrix, it follows that in any consistent theoretical model the form factors in the matrix element (1) should be gauge independent and finite. Then, the form factors values at $q^2 = 0$ determine the static electromagnetic properties of the neutrino that can be probed or measured in the direct interaction with external electromagnetic fields.

3. Neutrino electric millicharge

It is usually believed that the neutrino electric charge is zero. This is often thought to be attributed to gauge invariance and anomaly cancelation constraints imposed in the Standard Model. In the Standard Model of $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ electroweak interactions it is possible to get [10] a general proof that neutrinos are electrically neutral which is based on the requirement of electric charges quantization. The direct calculations of the neutrino charge in the Standard Model for massless (see, for instance [11, 12]) and massive neutrino [13, 14] also prove that, at least at the one-loop level, the neutrino electric charge is gauge independent and vanishes. However, if the neutrino has a mass, the statement that a neutrino electric charge is zero is not so evident as it meets the eye. As a result, neutrinos may become electrically millicharged particles. A brief discussion of different mechanisms for introducing millicharged particles including neutrinos can be found in [15].

The most severe experimental constraints on the electric charge of the neutrino of the level of $q_\nu \sim 10^{-21} e_0$ can be obtained from neutrality of matter [16]. A detailed discussion on other constraints on q_ν can be found in [6].

Even if the electric charge of a neutrino is vanishing, the electric form factor $f_Q(q^2)$ can still contain nontrivial information about neutrino static properties [6]. A neutral particle can be characterized by a superposition of two charge distributions of opposite signs so that the particle form factor $f_Q(q^2)$ can be non zero for $q^2 \neq 0$. The mean charge radius (in fact, it is the charged radius squared) of an electrically neutral neutrino is given by

$$< r_\nu^2 > = -6 \frac{df_Q(q^2)}{dq^2}|_{q^2=0}, \tag{4}$$

which is determined by the second term in the expansion of the neutrino charge form factor

$$f_Q(q^2) = f_Q(0) + q^2 \frac{df_Q(q^2)}{dq^2}|_{q^2=0} \tag{5}$$

in series of powers of q^2.

Note that there is a long standing discussion (see [6] for details) in the literature on the possibility to obtain (calculate) for the neutrino charged radius a gauge independent and finite quantity. In the corresponding calculations, performed in the one-loop approximation including additional terms from the $\gamma - Z$ boson mixing and the box diagrams involving W and Z bosons, the following gauge-invariant result for the neutrino charge radius have been obtained [17],

$$< r_{\nu_l}^2 > = \frac{G_F}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \Big[3 - 2 \log \big(\frac{m_l^2}{m_W^2} \big) \Big], \tag{6}$$

where m_W and m_l are the W boson and lepton masses ($l = e, \mu, \tau$). This result, however, revived the discussion [18] on the definition of the neutrino charge radius. Numerically, for the electron neutrino electroweak radius it yields $< r_{\nu_e}^2 > = 4 \times 10^{-33}\, cm^2$. This theoretical result differs at most by one order of magnitude from the available experimental bounds on $< r_{\nu_i}^2 >$ (see [6] for references and more detailed discussion). Therefore, one may expect that the experimental accuracy will soon reach the value needed to probe the neutrino effective charge radius.

4. Magnetic and electric dipole moments in gauge models

The most well studied and understood among the neutrino electromagnetic characteristics are the dipole magnetic and electric (diagonal, $i = j$,

and transition, $i \neq j$) moments

$$\mu_{ij} = f_M(0)_{ij}, \quad \epsilon_{ij} = f_E(0)_{ij} \tag{7}$$

given by the corresponding form factors at $q^2 = 0$.

The diagonal magnetic and electric moments of a Dirac neutrino in the minimally-extended Standard Model with right-handed neutrinos, derived for the first time in [2], are respectively,

$$\mu_{ii}^D = \frac{3eG_F m_i}{8\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \approx 3.2 \times 10^{-19}\Big(\frac{m_i}{1\,\mathrm{eV}}\Big)\mu_B \tag{8}$$

and

$$\epsilon_{ij}^D = 0, \tag{9}$$

where μ_B is the Borh magneton. Note that the estimation (8) of the obtained value for the neutrino magnetic moment shows that it is very small. It is indeed very small if compare, for instance, with the similar characteristic of electromagnetic properties of charged leptons ($l = e, \mu, \tau$) that are the anomalous magnetic moments given by

$$\mu_l^{AMM} = \frac{\alpha_{QED}}{2\pi}\mu_B \sim 10^{-3}\mu_B. \tag{10}$$

There is also a reasonable gap between the prediction (8) of the minimally-extended Standard Model with right-handed neutrinos and the present experimental and astrophysical upper bounds on the neutrino effective magnetic moments. However, in many other theoretical frameworks beyond the minimally-extended Standard Model the neutrino magnetic moment can reach values being of interest for the next generation terrestrial experiments and also accessible for astrophysical observations.

The transition magnetic and electric moments of a Dirac neutrino are given by [19–21]

$$\left.\begin{matrix}\mu_{ij}^D \\ \epsilon_{ij}^D\end{matrix}\right\} = \frac{3eG_F m_i}{32\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\Big(1 \pm \frac{m_j}{m_i}\Big)\sum_{l=\,e,\,\mu,\,\tau}\Big(\frac{m_l}{m_W}\Big)^2 U_{lj}U_{li}^*. \tag{11}$$

Numerically transition moments of a Dirac neutrino can be expressed as follows

$$\left.\begin{matrix}\mu_{ij}^D \\ \epsilon_{ij}^D\end{matrix}\right\} = 4 \times 10^{-23}\mu_B\Big(\frac{m_i \pm m_j}{1\ \mathrm{eV}}\Big)\sum_{l=\,e,\,\mu,\,\tau}\Big(\frac{m_l}{m_\tau}\Big)^2 U_{lj}U_{li}^*. \tag{12}$$

The transition magnetic moment μ_{ij}^D is even much smaller than the diagonal magnetic moment μ_{ii}^D because of the leptonic GIM mechanism. That is a reason why the neutrino radiative decay $\nu_i \to \nu_j + \gamma$ is in general a very slow process.

The transition magnetic and electric moments of a Majorana neutrino are given by [19]

$$\mu_{ij}^M = -\frac{3eG_F m_i}{16\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\left(1+\frac{m_j}{m_i}\right)\sum_{l=e,\mu,\tau}\mathrm{Im}\left[U_{lk}^* U_{lj}\right]\,\frac{m_l^2}{m_W^2}\,, \tag{13}$$

$$\epsilon_{ij}^M = -\frac{3eG_F m_i}{16\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\left(1-\frac{m_j}{m_i}\right)\sum_{l=e,\mu,\tau}\mathrm{Re}\left[U_{lk}^* U_{lj}\right]\,\frac{m_l^2}{m_W^2}\,. \tag{14}$$

There is the increase by a factor of 2 of the first coefficient with respect to the Dirac case in (11). It is possible to show [22, 23] that, depending on the relative CP phase of the two neutrinos ν_i and ν_j, one of the two options is realized:

$$\mu_{ij}^M = 2\mu_{ij}^D,\ \epsilon_{ij}^M = 0 \quad or \quad \mu_{ij}^M = 0,\ \epsilon_{ij}^M = 2\epsilon_{ij}^D. \tag{15}$$

The dependence of the diagonal magnetic moment of a massive Dirac neutrino on the neutrino $b_i = m_i^2/m_W^2$ and charged lepton $a_l = m_l^2/m_W^2$ mass parameters in the one-loop approximation in the minimally-extended Standard Model with right-handed neutrinos was studied in [12–14]. The calculations of the neutrino magnetic moment which take into account exactly the dependence on the masses of all particles [13, 14] can be useful in the case of a heavy neutrino with a mass comparable or even exceeding the values of the masses of other known particles. Although the LEP data require that the number of light neutrinos coupled to the Z boson is three, a possibility of any additional active neutrino heavier than $m_Z/2$ is not excluded by current data (see [24]).

For a heavy neutrino with mass m_i much larger than the charged lepton masses but smaller than the W-boson mass ($2\,\mathrm{GeV} \ll m_i \ll 80\,\mathrm{GeV}$), the obtained diagonal magnetic moment is [13, 14]

$$\mu_{ii} \simeq \frac{3eG_F}{8\pi^2\sqrt{2}}\,m_i\left(1+\frac{5}{18}b_i\right), \tag{16}$$

whereas the result for a heavy neutrino with mass m_i much larger than the W-boson mass is as follows,

$$\mu_{ii} \simeq \frac{eG_F}{8\pi^2\sqrt{2}}\,m_i. \tag{17}$$

Note that in both cases the Dirac neutrino magnetic moment is proportional to the neutrino mass. This is an expected result, because the calculations have been performed within the minimally-extended Standard Model with right-handed neutrinos.

As it has been already mentioned, the linear dependence of the neutrino magnetic moment on the neutrino mass makes its value in general very small. This feature is common for a vide class of theoretical models and seems to be hardly avoidable. There is also indeed a general problem for a theoretical model of how to get a large magnetic moment for a neutrino and simultaneously to avoid an unacceptable large contribution to the neutrino mass. If a contribution to the neutrino magnetic moment of an order

$$\mu_\nu \sim \frac{eG}{\Lambda}, \tag{18}$$

is generated by physics beyond the minimally-extended Standard Model at an energy scale characterized by Λ, then the correspondent contribution to the neutrino mass is [25–27]

$$\delta m_\nu \sim \frac{\Lambda^2}{2m_e}\frac{\mu_\nu}{\mu_B} = \frac{\mu_\nu}{10^{-18}\mu_B}\Big(\frac{\Lambda}{1\ Tev}\Big)^2\ eV. \tag{19}$$

Therefore, a particular fine tuning is needed to get a large value for the neutrino magnetic moment while keeping the neutrino mass within experimental bounds. Different possibilities to have a large magnetic moment for a neutrino were considered in the literature starting with [28, 29], one of the possibilities have been considered recently [30].

5. Neutrino electromagnetic properties in reactor experiments

The most established and sensitive method for the experimental investigation of neutrino electromagnetic properties is provided by direct laboratory measurements of (anti)neutrino-electron scattering at low energies in solar, accelerator and reactor experiments. A detailed description of different experiments can be found in [4–6, 27, 31, 32]. Here below we focus on the reactor antineutrino experiment since it provides the best nowadays terrestrial laboratory upper limit on the effective neutrino magnetic moment, as well a limit on the neutrino millicharge and probably with the expected improvement of sensitivity will be also sensitive in future to the neutrino charge radius.

In general case the cross section for an (anti)neutrino scattering on a free electron can be written [33] as a sum of the Standard Model weak interaction and the electromagnetic interaction contributions,

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dT} = \Big(\frac{d\sigma}{dT}\Big)_{SM} + \Big(\frac{d\sigma}{dT}\Big)_{EM}. \tag{20}$$

The Standard Model contribution is

$$\Big(\frac{d\sigma}{dT}\Big)_{SM} = \frac{G_F^2 m_e}{2\pi}\Bigg[(g_V + g_A)^2 + (g_V - g_A)^2\Big(1 - \frac{T}{E_\nu}\Big)^2 + (g_A^2 - g_V^2)\frac{m_e T}{E_\nu^2}\Bigg], \tag{21}$$

where E_ν is the initial neutrino energy and T is the electron recoil energy which is measured in the experiment. The coupling constants are $g_V = 2\sin^2\theta_W + \frac{1}{2}$ and $g_A = -\frac{1}{2}$. In the case $E_\nu \gg T$, which is relevant to the experiments with reactor (anti)neutrinos

$$\Big(\frac{d\sigma}{dT}\Big)_{SM} = \frac{G_F^2 m}{2\pi}\left(1 + 4\sin^2\theta_W + 8\sin^4\theta_W\right)\left[1 + O\left(\frac{T}{E_\nu}\right)\right]. \tag{22}$$

The electromagnetic interaction part of the cross section can be written as a sum of three contributions originated due to the neutrino magnetic moment, millicharge and charge radius respectively,

$$\Big(\frac{d\sigma}{dT}\Big)_{EM} = \Big(\frac{d\sigma}{dT}\Big)_{\mu_\nu} + \Big(\frac{d\sigma}{dT}\Big)_{q_\nu} + \Big(\frac{d\sigma}{dT}\Big)_{\langle r^2_{\nu_e}\rangle}. \tag{23}$$

The contribution to the cross section generated by possible non-zero charge radius $\langle r^2_{\nu_e}\rangle$ is given by (21) with the redefined vector coupling constant [33] $g_V \to \frac{2}{3}m_W^2\langle r^2_{\nu_e}\rangle\sin^2\theta_W$,

$$\Big(\frac{d\sigma}{dT}\Big)_{\langle r^2_{\nu_e}\rangle} = \Big(\frac{d\sigma}{dT}\Big)_{EM_{g_V \to \frac{2}{3}m_W^2\langle r^2_{\nu_e}\rangle\sin^2\theta_W}}. \tag{24}$$

The magnetic moment contribution to the cross section and its expression at $E_\nu \gg T$ are as follows,

$$\Big(\frac{d\sigma}{dT}\Big)_{\mu_\nu} = \pi\frac{\alpha_{QED}^2}{m_e^2}\left(\frac{\mu_\nu}{\mu_B}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{E_\nu}\right) \approx \pi\alpha_{QED}^2\frac{1}{m_e^2 T}\left(\frac{\mu_\nu}{\mu_B}\right)^2. \tag{25}$$

The magnetic moment contribution to the cross section also changes the helicity of the neutrino, contrary to all other discussed contributions. The contribution to the cross section due to the neutrino millicharge is

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dT}\right)_{q_\nu} \approx 2\pi\alpha\frac{1}{m_e T^2}q_\nu^2. \tag{26}$$

Note that three terms $\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dT}\right)_{SM}$, $\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dT}\right)_{\mu_\nu}$ and $\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dT}\right)_{q_\nu}$ exhibit quite different dependence on the electron recoil energy T.

The strategy of the experiment is to find an excess of events over those due to the Standard Model and other background processes. Experimental signatures for μ_ν or q_ν would be an excess of events between the reactor ON over OFF samples, which exhibits an $\frac{1}{T}$ or $\frac{1}{T^2}$ energy dependence. It is clear that the lower the measured electron recoil energy T is the smaller neutrino magnetic moment μ_ν and millicharge q_ν values can be probed in the experiment.

Up to now in the reactor experiments there is no any access of events due to possible neutrino electromagnetic interactions. From comparison of two cross sections (22) and (25) (the T dependence of these cross sections for three fixed values $\mu_\nu = 3, 4, 5 \times 10^{-11}\mu_B$ are shown in Fig. 2) the sensitivity of the experiment to the neutrino magnetic moment μ_ν^2 can be estimated:

$$\mu_\nu^2 \preceq \frac{G_F^2 m_e^3 T}{2\pi^2\alpha_{QED}^2}(1 + 4\sin^2_W + 8\sin^2_W)\mu_B^2. \tag{27}$$

The best laboratory upper limit on a neutrino magnetic moment [34] has been obtained by the GEMMA collaboration (Germanium Experiment for measurement of Magnetic Moment of Antineutrino) that investigates the reactor antineutrino-electron scattering at the Kalinin Nuclear Power Plant (Russia). Within the presently reached electron recoil energy threshold of

$$T \sim 2.8\ keV \tag{28}$$

the neutrino magnetic moment is bounded from above by the value

$$\mu_\nu < 2.9 \times 10^{-11}\mu_B \ \ (90\%\ C.L.). \tag{29}$$

This limit obtained from unobservant distortions in the recoil electron energy spectra is valid for both Dirac and Majorana neutrinos and for both diagonal and transitional moments.



Figure 2: Standard model weak (SM) and electromagnetic magnetic moment (μ_ν) contributions to the total cross section for several values of the magnetic moment ($\mu_\nu = 3, 4, 5 \times 10^{-11}\mu_B$).

It is planned that with the GEMMA-II, which is now in the final stage of preparation and is expected to get data in 2015 (for details see in [34, 35]), the effective threshold will be reduced to $T = 1.5\ keV$ and the sensitivity to the neutrino magnetic moment will be at the level

$$\mu_\nu \sim 1 \times 10^{-11}\mu_B. \tag{30}$$

Within further reduction of the threshold to $T = 350\ eV$ that is now under consideration as a goal of the GEMMA-III approximately for the year 2018 the expected sensitivity of the experiment will be at the level

$$\mu_\nu \sim 9 \times 10^{-12}\mu_B. \tag{31}$$

Stringent upper bounds on the neutrino magnetic moment have been also obtained in other recently carried reactor experiments: $\mu_\nu \leq 9.0 \times 10^{-11}\mu_B$ (MUNU collaboration [36]) and $\mu_\nu \leq 7.4 \times 10^{-11}\mu_B$ (TEXONO collaboration [37]. Stringent limits also obtained in the solar neutrino scattering ex-

periments: $\mu_\nu \leq 1.1 \times 10^{-10}\mu_B$ (Super-Kamiokande collaboration [38]) and $\mu_\nu \leq 5.4 \times 10^{-11}\mu_B$ (Borexino collaboration [39]).

Interpretations and comparisons among various experiments should take into account the difference in the flavour compositions between them at the detectors. It should be mentioned [40] that what is measured in scattering experiments is an effective magnetic moment μ_l^{exp}, that depends on the flavour composition of the neutrino beam at the detector located at a distance L from the source, and which value is a rather complicated function of the magnetic (transition) moments μ_{ij}:

$$\mu_{\nu_l}^{exp2} = \mu_\nu^2(\nu_l, L, E_\nu) = \sum_j \Big| \sum_i U_{li} e^{-iE_i L}(\mu_{ji} - i\epsilon_{ji}) \Big|^2 .$$

The dipole electric (transition) moments, if these quantities not vanish, can also contribute to μ_l^{exp}. The detailed discussion of this item is given in [6].

The absence of distortions of the electron recoil energy spectra in GEMMA experiment can be also used [41] to bound from above the neutrino millicharge q_ν. From demanding that possible effect due to q_ν does not exceed one due to μ_ν and comparing two cross sections given by (25) and (26) for the sensitivity of the experiment to q_ν we get

$$q_\nu^2 \preceq \frac{T}{2m_e} \left(\frac{\mu_\nu^a}{\mu_B} \right)^2 e_0^2. \tag{32}$$

From (32) and the present GEMMA data given by (29) and (28) a bound is derived [41],

$$\mid q_\nu \mid \sim 1.5 \times 10^{-12} e_0. \tag{33}$$

Note that this bound should be considered as an order-of-magnitude estimation for a possible sensitivity of the experiment to q_ν. More accurate analysis accounting for experimental data taken over an extended energy range using the corresponding statistical procedures give the following limit [41]

$$\mid q_\nu \mid < 2.7 \times 10^{-12} e_0 \ (90\%\ C.L.). \tag{34}$$

More stringent constraints on the millicharge on the level of $q_\nu \sim \ few \ \times 10^{-13} e_0$ are expected with further progress in antineutrino-electron cross section measurements with GEMMA-II and III. Finally, note that upper bounds on the neutrino electric millicharge on the level of $\mid q_\nu \mid \sim 10^{-12} e_0$ are also discussed in [42].

6. Neutrino electromagnetic interactions in astrophysics

If a neutrino has the non-trivial electromagnetic properties discussed above, a direct neutrino coupling to photons is possible and several processes important for applications in astrophysics exist [43]. A set of most important neutrino electromagnetic processes is: 1) neutrino radiative decay $\nu_1 \to \nu_2 + \gamma$, neutrino Cherenkov radiation in an external environment (plasma and/or electromagnetic fields), spin light of neutrino, $SL\nu$, in the presence of a medium [44–47]; 2) photon (plasmon) decay to a neutrino-antineutrino pair in plasma $\gamma \to \nu\bar{\nu}$; 3) neutrino scattering off electrons (or nuclei); 4) neutrino spin (spin-flavor) precession in a magnetic field (see [48]) and resonant neutrino spin-flavour oscillations in matter [49, 50].

The tightest astrophysical bound on a neutrino magnetic moment is provided by observed properties of globular cluster stars. For a large enough neutrino magnetic moment the plasmon decay rate can be enhanced so that a reasonable delay of helium ignition would appear. From lack observation evidence of anomalous stellar cooling due to the plasmon decay the following limit has been found [51]

$$\Big(\sum_{i,j} \mid \mu_{ij} \mid^2 \Big)^{1/2} \leq 3 \times 10^{-12} \mu_B. \tag{35}$$

This is the most stringent astrophysical constraint on neutrino magnetic moments, applicable to both the Dirac and Majorana neutrinos.

A new interesting phenomena of neutrino electromagnetic interactions in astrophysical environments has been recently considered [52]. It is shown that millicharged neutrinos move on curved trajectories inside dense magnetized rotating stars. The feedback of the neutrino flux should effect the rotation of pulsars. This phenomena has been termed the "Neutrino Star Turning" (νST) mechanism [52]. A new limit on the neutrino millicharge

$$q_0 < 1.3 \times 10^{-19} e_0 \tag{36}$$

is obtained in order to avoid a contradiction of the νST impact with observational data on pulsars. This limit is among the strongest astrophysical constraints on the neutrino millicharge.

7. Acknowledgments

One of the authors (A.S.) is thankful to Arcadi Santamaría, Salvador Martí and Juan Fuster for the kind invitation to participate at the ICHEP

2014 conference and to all of the organizers for their hospitality in Valencia. This study has been partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant No. 14-22-03043-ofi).

References

[1] L. Radicati, B. Touschek, On the equivalence theorem for the massless neutrino, Nuovo Cim. 5 (1957) 1693–1699.

[2] K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock, The Magnetic Moment of a Massive Neutrino and Neutrino Spin Rotation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45 (1980) 963.

[3] A. Studenikin, Neutrino magnetic moment: A Window to new physics, Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. 188 (2009) 220–222.

[4] C. Giunti, A. Studenikin, Neutrino electromagnetic properties, Phys.Atom.Nucl. 72 (2009) 2089–2125.

[5] C. Broggini, C. Giunti, A. Studenikin, Electromagnetic Properties of Neutrinos, Adv.High Energy Phys. 2012 (2012) 459526.

[6] C. Giunti, A. Studenikin, Neutrino electromagnetic interactions: a window to new physics, arXiv: 1403.63434 v1 (2014) 72 p.

[7] B. Kayser, Majorana Neutrinos and their Electromagnetic Properties, Phys.Rev. D26 (1982) 1662.

[8] J. F. Nieves, Electromagnetic Properties of Majorana Neutrinos, Phys.Rev. D26 (1982) 3152.

[9] M. Nowakowski, E. Paschos, J. Rodriguez, All electromagnetic form-factors, Eur.J.Phys. 26 (2005) 545–560.

[10] R. Foot, H. Lew, R. Volkas, Electric charge quantization, J.Phys. G19 (1993) 361–372.

[11] W. A. Bardeen, R. Gastmans, B. Lautrup, Static quantities in Weinberg's model of weak and electromagnetic interactions, Nucl.Phys. B46 (1972) 319–331.

[12] L. Cabral-Rosetti, J. Bernabeu, J. Vidal, A. Zepeda, Charge and magnetic moment of the neutrino in the background field method and in the linear R-L(xi) gauge, Eur.Phys.J. C12 (2000) 633–642.

[13] M. Dvornikov, A. Studenikin, Electric charge and magnetic moment of massive neutrino, Phys. Rev. D69 (2004) 073001.

[14] M. Dvornikov, A. Studenikin, Electromagnetic Form Factors of a Massive Neutrino, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 99 (2004) 254.

[15] S. Davidson, S. Hannestad, G. Raffelt, Updated bounds on millicharged particles, JHEP 0005 (2000) 003.

[16] G. Bressi, G. Carugno, F. Della Valle, G. Galeazzi, G. Ruoso, et al., Testing the neutrality of matter by acoustic means in a spherical resonator, arXiv: 1102.2766.

[17] J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, D. Binosi, The Neutrino charge radius in the presence of fermion masses, Nucl.Phys. B716 (2005) 352–372.

[18] K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock, On a neutrino electroweak radius, Phys.Rev. D69 (2004) 013007.

[19] R. E. Shrock, Electromagnetic properties and decays of Dirac and Majorana neutrinos in a general class of gauge theories, Nucl. Phys. B206 (1982) 359.

[20] P. B. Pal, L. Wolfenstein, Radiative decays of massive neutrinos, Phys. Rev. D 25 766–773.

[21] S. M. Bilenky, S. T. Petcov, Massive neutrinos and neutrino oscillations, Rev. Mod. Phys. 59 (1987) 671.

[22] J. Schechter, J. W. F. Valle, Majorana Neutrinos and Magnetic Fields, Phys. Rev. D24 (1981) 1883–1889.

[23] P. B. Pal, L. Wolfenstein, Radiative Decays of Massive Neutrinos, Phys.Rev. D25 (1982) 766.

[24] A. Djouadi, A. Lenz, Sealing the fate of a fourth generation of fermions, Phys.Lett. B715 (2012) 310–314.

[25] P. B. Pal, Particle physics confronts the solar neutrino problem, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A7 (1992) 5387–5460.

[26] N. F. Bell, V. Cirigliano, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, P. Vogel, M. B. Wise, How magnetic is the Dirac neutrino?, Phys.Rev.Lett. 95 (2005) 151802.

[27] A. Balantekin, Neutrino magnetic moment, AIP Conf.Proc. 847 (2006) 128–133.

[28] M. Voloshin, On Compatibility of Small Mass with Large Magnetic Moment of Neutrino, Sov.J.Nucl.Phys. 48 (1988) 512.

[29] S. M. Barr, E. Freire, A. Zee, A Mechanism for large neutrino magnetic moments, Phys.Rev.Lett. 65 (1990) 2626–2629.

[30] Z.-z. Xing, Y.-L. Zhou, Enhanced Electromagnetic Transition Dipole Moments and Radiative Decays of Massive Neutrinos due to the Seesaw-induced Non-unitary Effects, Phys.Lett. B715 (2012) 178–182.

[31] H. Wong, H.-B. Li, Neutrino magnetic moments, Mod.Phys.Lett. A20 (2005) 1103–1117.

[32] A. Beda, V. Brudanin, E. Demidova, V. Egorov, M. Gavrilov, et al., First Result for Neutrino Magnetic Moment from Measurements with the GEMMA Spectrometer, Phys.Atom.Nucl. 70 (2007) 1873–1884.

[33] P. Vogel, J. Engel, Neutrino Electromagnetic Form-Factors, Phys.Rev. D39 (1989) 3378.

[34] A. Beda, V. Brudanin, V. Egorov, D. Medvedev, V. Pogosov, et al., The results of search for the neutrino magnetic moment in GEMMA experiment, Adv.High Energy Phys. 2012 (2012) 350150.

[35] A. Beda, V. Brudanin, V. Egorov, D. Medvedev, V. Pogosov, et al., Gemma experiment: The results of neutrino magnetic moment search, Phys.Part.Nucl.Lett. 10 (2013) 139–143.

[36] Z. Daraktchieva, et al., Final results on the neutrino magnetic moment from the MUNU experiment, Phys. Lett. B615 (2005) 153.

[37] H. Wong, et al., A Search of Neutrino Magnetic Moments with a High-Purity Germanium Detector at the Kuo-Sheng Nuclear Power Station, Phys.Rev. D75 (2007) 012001.

[38] D. Liu, et al., Limits on the neutrino magnetic moment using 1496 days of Super-Kamiokande-I solar neutrino data, Phys.Rev.Lett. 93 (2004) 021802.

[39] C. Arpesella, et al., Direct Measurement of the Be-7 Solar Neutrino Flux with 192 Days of Borexino Data, Phys.Rev.Lett. 101 (2008) 091302.

[40] J. F. Beacom, P. Vogel, Neutrino magnetic moments, flavor mixing, and the Super-Kamiokande solar data, Phys.Rev.Lett. 83 (1999) 5222–5225.

[41] A. Studenikin, New bounds on neutrino electric millicharge from limits on neutrino magnetic moment, Europhys.Lett. 107 (2014) 21001.

[42] J.-W. Chen, H.-C. Chi, H.-B. Li, C. P. Liu, L. Singh, et al., Constraints on millicharged neutrinos via analysis of data from atomic ionizations with germanium detectors at sub-keV sensitivities, Phys.Rev. D90 (2014) 011301.

[43] G. Raffelt, Stars as laboratories for fundamental physics: The astrophysics of neutrinos, axions, and other weakly interacting particles, University of Chicago Press, 1996.

[44] A. Lobanov, A. Studenikin, Spin light of neutrino in matter and electromagnetic fields, Phys.Lett. B564 (2003) 27–34.

[45] A. Studenikin, A. Ternov, Neutrino quantum states and spin light in matter, Phys.Lett. B608 (2005) 107–114.

[46] A. Grigorev, A. Studenikin, A. Ternov, Quantum theory of neutrino spin light in dense matter, Phys.Lett. B622 (2005) 199–206.

[47] A. Grigoriev, A. Lokhov, A. Studenikin, A. Ternov, The effect of plasmon mass on spin light of neutrino in dense matter, Phys.Lett. B718 (2012) 512–515.

[48] L. Okun, M. Voloshin, M. Vysotsky, Neutrino Electrodynamics and Possible Effects for Solar Neutrinos, Sov.Phys.JETP 64 (1986) 446–452.

[49] C.-S. Lim, W. J. Marciano, Resonant Spin - Flavor Precession of Solar and Supernova Neutrinos, Phys.Rev. D37 (1988) 1368–1373.

[50] E. K. Akhmedov, Resonant Amplification of Neutrino Spin Rotation in Matter and the Solar Neutrino Problem, Phys.Lett. B213 (1988) 64–68.

[51] G. Raffelt, New bound on neutrino dipole moments from globular cluster stars, Phys.Rev.Lett. 64 (1990) 2856–2858.

[52] A. I. Studenikin, I. Tokarev, Millicharged neutrino with anomalous magnetic moment in rotating magnetized matter, Nucl.Phys. B884 (2014) 396–407.